

**BEFORE THE KERALA STATE ELECTION COMMISSION,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM**

PRESENT: SHRI.K.SASIDHARAN NAIR, STATE ELECTION COMMISSIONER

Thursday, the 19th day of March 2015

O.P.Nos.40/2014, 41/2014, 42/2014, 43/2014 AND 44/2014

O.P.No.40/2014

Petitioner : Rajendran.G,
S/o Gangadharan,
Sivamangalam,
Pattamthuruthu P.O., (via) Perinadu
Kollam District. PIN 691 601.
Member, Ward No.03,
Mantrothuru Grama Panchayat

(By Adv. Panambil S.Jayakumar)

Respondent : Vijayan.A,
Member, Ward No.01,
Mantrothuruthu Grama Panchayat,
Kollam District, PIN-691 502.

(By Adv. S.K.Vinod)

O.P.No.41/2014

Petitioner : Rajendran.G,
S/o Gangadharan,
Sivamangalam,
Pattamthuruthu P.O., (via) Perinadu
Kollam District. PIN 691 601.
Member, Ward No.03,
Mantrothuru Grama Panchayat

(By Adv. Panambil S.Jayakumar)

Respondent : Sujatha.A.,
Member, Ward No.11,
Mantrothuruthu Grama Panchayat,
Kollam District, PIN-691 502.

(By Adv. S.K.Vinod)

O.P.No.42/2014

Petitioner : Rajendran,
S/o Gangadharan,
Sivamangalam,
Pattamthuruthu P.O., (via) Perinadu
Kollam District. PIN 691 601.
Member, Ward No.03,
Mantrothuru Grama Panchayat

(By Adv. Panambil S.Jayakumar)

Respondent : Sobha,
Member, Ward No.09,
Mantrothuruthu Grama Panchayat,
Kollam District, PIN-691 502.

(By Adv. S.K.Vinod)

O.P.No.43/2014

Petitioner : Rajendran,
S/o Gangadharan,
Sivamangalam,
Pattamthuruthu P.O., (via) Perinadu
Kollam District. PIN 691 601.
Member, Ward No.03,
Mantrothuru Grama Panchayat

(By Adv. Panambil S.Jayakumar)

Respondent : Radhakrishnan,
Member, Ward No.08,
Mantrothuruthu Grama Panchayat,
Kollam District, PIN-691 502.

(By Adv. S.K.Vinod)

O.P.No.44/2014

Petitioner : Rajendran,
S/o Gangadharan,
Sivamangalam,
Pattamthuruthu P.O., (via) Perinadu
Kollam District. PIN 691 601.
Member, Ward No.03,
Mantrothuru Grama Panchayat

(By Adv. Panambil S.Jayakumar)

Respondent : Subramanian.P,
Member, Ward No.12,
Mantrothuruthu Grama Panchayat,
Kollam District, PIN-691 502.

(By Adv. S.K.Vinod)

These petitions having come up for hearing on the 11th day of February 2015, in the presence of Adv. **Panambil S.Jaya Kumar** for the petitioner and Adv. **S.K.Vinod** for the respondents and having stood over for consideration to this day, the Commission passed the following.

COMMON ORDER

These are petitions filed under Section 4(1) of the Kerala Local Authorities (Prohibition of Defection) Act for declaring that the respective respondents have

become subject to disqualification for being members of Mantrothuruthu Grama Panchayat. Since these petitions have been filed by a common petitioner and common questions of law and facts arise for consideration in all these cases, they have been taken up jointly and O.P.No.40/2014 is treated as the main case.

2. The short facts are as follows,- The petitioner and respondents have contested in various wards of Mantrothuruthu Grama Panchayat as candidates of Indian National Congress in the General Election held in October 2010 and were elected as members. Smt.S.Sobha belonging to Congress party was elected as President and she was ousted from that post by passing a no confidence motion on 24.04.2014. Fresh election to the post of President was scheduled to be held on 19.05.2014. The Congress party as well as its parliamentary party unanimously decided to field Smt.Achiyamma Elsi Samuel as the candidate to the post of President. A meeting of the Parliamentary party of all the members belonging to Congress party was held at the DCC Office, Kollam on 18.05.2014 in the presence of Sri. M.M.Hassan, Vice President of KPCC, Sri.Sooranadu Rajasekharan and Sri.Pratapa Varma Thampan, DCC President. The decision to field Smt. Achiyamma Elsi Samuel was taken in that meeting unanimously. The DCC President had given written directions to all the members including the respondents to vote in favour of Smt.Achiyamma Elsi Samuel in the election scheduled on 19.05.2014. But in violation of the said Direction, Smt.Sujatha the

respondent in O.P.No.41/2014 proposed the name of the respondent in O.P.No.42/2014 and it was supported by the respondents in O.P.No.40/2014 and the respondent in O.P.No.42/2014 had contested against the official candidate. In the first phase of election, all these respondents voted in favour of the respondent in O.P.NO.42/2014 and thus she secured 5 votes whereas Smt.Achiyamma Elsi Samuel and another candidate belonging to LDF secured 4 votes each. Smt.Achiyamma Elsi Samuel was ousted after the draw of lots and in the next phase of election, these respondents had abstained from voting which resulted in the victory of Smt.Thankamani Sasidharan a member belonging to LDF. The conduct of the respondents in disobeying the direction of the party and voting in favour of a candidate who was not authorized by the party was only with a view to help the rival party to get the post of President and it is a clear case of defection which invites disqualification. The respondents had voluntarily given up their membership from the party and they also had violated the whip issued by the party. Hence these petitions.

3. The respondents have filed objections raising common contentions which are in short, as follows,- The petitions are not maintainable either in law or on facts. It is true that the President of the Mantrothuruthu Grama Panchayat was ousted on account of passing a no confidence motion on 24.04.2014. The said no confidence motion was supported by the petitioner and two other

members belonging to Congress party along with LDF members. But the Congress party had decided to defeat the said no confidence motion and issued whip to all the Congress members to vote against the same. But the petitioner and two other members belonging to Congress party had purposefully disobeyed the said direction and decision of the party and ousted the President by joining with the LDF members. Therefore fresh election was notified to the said post and the same was scheduled on 19.05.2014. The allegation that the Indian National Congress and its parliamentary party have unanimously decided to field Smt.Achiyamma Elsi Samuel as official candidate of the party is totally false. No such decision was taken. No meeting was held at the DCC office on 18.05.2014 in the presence of Sri.M.M.Hassan, Sri.Sooranadu Rajasekharan and Sri.Pratapa Varma Thampan and no decision was taken in any such meeting. No direction was given by the DCC President or the Congress party to vote in favour of Smt.Achiyamma Elsi Samuel and no whip was received by the respondents in respect of the election held on 19.05.2014. So the respondents have chosen to vote in favour of the candidate of their option. The respondents have not disobeyed any direction of the party nor voluntarily given up their membership from the party. These petitions are filed only as a counter blast to the petitions filed as O.P. Nos. 28/2014, 29/2014 and 30/2014 and these petitions are only to be dismissed.

4. The evidence consists of the oral depositions of PWs1 to 5, RW1 and Exts.P1 to P12.

5. Both sides were heard

6. The following points arise for consideration;

- (i) Whether the petitions are not maintainable?
- (ii) Whether Smt.Achiyamma Elsi Samuel had contested as the official candidate of Congress party in the election to the post of President held on 19.05.2014?
- (iii) Whether the Kollam DCC President had issued direction to the respondents to vote in favour of Smt.Achiyamma Elsi Samuel in the election held on 19.05.2014?
- (iv) Whether the respondents have voluntarily given up their membership from the party or disobeyed the direction issued by the party in respect of election to the post of President held on 19.05.2014 as alleged?
- (v) Whether the respondents have become subject to disqualification for being members of Mantrothuruthu Grama Panchayat?
- (vi) Reliefs and costs?

7. **POINT No.(i)** : These are petitions filed under Section 4(1) of the Kerala Local Authorities (Prohibition of Defection) Act, (hereinafter referred to as the 'Act'). According to the common petitioner, the respondent in O.P.No.42/2014 contested against the official candidate of Congress party in the election to the post of President held on 19.05.2014 and voted in favour of that respondent by disobeying the direction and decision of the Congress party which

resulted in defeating the official candidate of Congress party and thus they have committed defection. Section 3(1)(a) of the Act states that if a member of local authority belonging to any political party voluntarily gives up his membership of such political party, or if such member, contrary to any direction in writing issued by the political party or by a person or authority authorized by it in this behalf in the manner prescribed, votes or abstains from voting in an election to the post of President, Vice President Standing Committee Chairman of its members or on a no confidence motion against any of them except a Standing Committee member, then he shall be disqualified for being a member of that local authority. Section 4(1) of the Act state that if any question arises as to whether a member of a local authority has become subject to disqualification under the provisions of this Act, a member of that local authority or the political party concerned or a person authorized by it in this behalf can file a petition before the State Election Commission for decision. In the light of the rival claims, a question arises as to whether the respondents have become subject to disqualification as provided by Section 3(1)(a) of the Act. The petitioner, being a member of the same Panchayat in which the respondents also are members, is fully competent to file the petitions. Moreover the petitions are seen to have been filed within the time limit prescribed under Rule 4A(2) of the Kerala Local Authorities (Disqualification of Defected Members) Rules. No other specific contentions are

raised by the respondents so as to challenge the maintainability of these petitions. Hence I find that the petitions are maintainable. The point is answered accordingly.

8. **POINT No.(ii)to (vi):** Since common questions of law and facts arise for consideration in all these points, they are taken up together for brevity and convenience. Certain facts are note in dispute. Out of the 13 wards in the Mantrothuruthu Grama Panchayat, the Congress party alone secured 9 seats and the CPI(M) secured 4 seats. After General Election Smt.S.Sobha belonging to Congress party was elected as President. She was removed from the post of President on account of passing the no confidence motion moved against her by the petitioner and two others along with LDF members. For which the respondent in O.P.No.43/2014 filed O.P.Nos.28/2014, 29/2014 and 30/2014 against this petitioner and two others. In the subsequent election to the post of President scheduled on 19.05.2014, it is alleged that the Congress party as well as its parliamentary party had decided to field Smt.Achiyamma Elsi Samuel and the DCC President had issued direction to all the Congress members including these respondents to vote in her favour and by disobeying that decision and direction, the respondent in O.P.No.42/2014 contested against her and all the respondents voted in her favour and thereby they have voluntarily given up their membership from the party and also violated the whip issued by the party. The petitioner has

been examined as PW1. His chief examination is by way of an affidavit, reproducing all the allegations contained in the original petition. He has deposed that a Congress parliamentary party of this Panchayat was convened at the DCC office on 18.05.2014 in the presence of Sri.M.M.Hassan, Sri.Sooranad Rajasekharan and Sri.Pratapa Varma Thampan, the DCC President and certain other leaders and in that meeting it was decided to elect Smt.Achiyamma Elsi Samuel as President and the DCC President had issued direction in writing in this behalf. He has specifically stated that the said directions containing his signature and seal was served to all the nine Congress members and the said members had acknowledged the receipt by putting the signatures in the copies of the said direction. He has further deposed that by disobeying the direction the respondents have voted in favour of the respondent in O.P.No.42/2014 and thus the official candidate and the LDF secured four votes each and in the draw of lots, the official candidate was ousted and in the next phase of election a member belonging to LDF found victory. Exts.P1 to P5 are the declarations filed by the respondents as provided by Rule 3(2) of the Kerala Local Authorities (Disqualification of Defected Members) Rules. Ext.P6 is the record maintained under Rule 3(1) of the Kerala Local Authorities (Disqualification of Defected Members) Rules wherein also the respondents are shown to have been elected as members belonging to Congress party. In all these documents they have stated

that they are elected as members belonging to Congress party. Of course these documents are not in dispute and the respondents have admitted that they were elected as members belonging to Congress party. Ext.P8 is the notice given by the Returning Officer to the petitioner in respect of the election to the post of President scheduled on 19.05.2014 and Ext.P9 is the copy of the minutes of the said election meeting. Ext.P7 is stated to be the photo copy of the direction in writing issued by the DCC President to the petitioner directing him to vote in favour of Smt.Achiyamma Elsi Samuel in the election Schedule on 19.05.2014 and Ext.P10 is stated to be the photo copy of such a direction issued to Smt.O.Valsala and Ext.P12 is stated to be the direction issued by the DCC President to Smt.Achiyamma Elsi Samuel. In cross examination PW1 has admitted that he and two others had voted in favour of the no confidence motion moved against the then President and thus she was removed from that post for which O.P.Nos.28/2014, 29/2014 and 30/2014 are pending against them. It was suggested to PW1 in cross-examination that no meeting was convened on 18.05.2014 at the DCC office and that no direction in writing was given by the DCC President to vote in favour of Smt.Achiyamma Elsi Samuel which PW1 denied and stated that such a meeting was held and direction was issued by the DCC President. It was also suggested to PW1 in cross-examination that no decision was taken by the Congress party in any meeting to elect

Smt.Achiyamma Elsi Samuel as President which also PW1 denied. It was then further suggested to PW1 that these cases are filed as a counter blast to the cases filed as O.P.Nos.28/2014, 29/2014 and 30/2014 which also PW1 denied.

9. PW2 is another member of this Panchayat and she also has deposed that the meeting of the Parliamentary party was held at DCC Office on 18.05.2014 in the presence of Sri.M.M.Hassan, Sri.Sooranadu Rajasekharan and Sri.Pratapa Varma Thampan, DCC President and all the Congress members participated in that meeting and Smt.Achiyamma Elsi Samuel was decided as the candidate for the post of President and that the DCC President had issued direction in writing in this behalf to all the members. Ext.P10 is stated to be the photo copy of the whip received by her in respect of the above election. PW2 has also deposed that the respondents have disobeyed the direction and decision of the party by not voting in favour of Smt.Achiyamma Elsi Samuel and so they are no more members of the party. In cross-examination it was suggested to PW2 that the name of Smt.Achiyamma Elsi Samuel is seen erased in the original and it has been re-written to which PW2 stated that a mistake crept in one letter and so the name was erased and re-written. PW2 has stated that Ext.P10 was written by the office Secretary and she did not see while writing the same. It was suggested to PW2 that Ext.P10 was not a whip issued by the DCC President and it has been fabricated on a signed blank letter pad which also PW2 denied. It was also

suggested to PW2 that no meeting was held on 18.05.2014 in the DCC office which PW2 denied. She has admitted that there was minutes for the said meeting. PW2 has also admitted that in the second phase of election, the respondent in O.P.No.42/2014 and one Thankamani Sasidharan belonging to LDF candidate contested and she along with the petitioner had voted in favour of the LDF candidate and there was no decision by the party to vote in favour of an LDF candidate. PW2 has further deposed that the DCC President had sent mobile messages to the respondents in favour of Smt.Achiyamma Elsi Samuel. It was put to PW2 in cross-examination whether she produced the records relating to the mobile messages to which she agreed to produce the same.

10. PW3 is Sri.M.M.Hasan, KPCC Vice President and he has deposed that a complaint from the Mantrothuruthu Congress Mandalam Committee was received by the KPCC President and that was entrusted with him by the KPCC President and he was authorized to enquire about the same and accordingly a parliamentary party meeting of the Panchayat was convened on 18.05.2014 at the DCC office and all the nine Congress members attended that meeting and after discussion it was decided to elect Smt.Achiyamma Elsi Samuel as President and direction was given to all the Congress members to vote in her favour and the DCC President was directed to issue whip to all the Congress members. Ext.P11 is stated to be the photo copy of the said complaint given by Mantrothuruthu

Congress Mandalam Committee President and being a photo copy it was marked only a subject to proof. PW3 has stated that minutes was prepared regarding the said meeting held at DCC office on 18.05.2014 and all the members who attended that meeting had put their attendance mark in the same. It was suggested to PW3 that no such meeting was convened and no decision was taken to elect Smt.Achiyamma Elsi Samuel as President which PW3 denied. PW3 has further stated that he orally directed the DCC President to issue whip to the members.

11. PW4 is Smt.Achiyamma Elsi Samuel and her chief examination is by way of an affidavit. She has deposed that in connection with the President election scheduled on 19.05.2014, the Congress parliamentary party meeting was convened on 18.05.2014 at the DCC office and Sri.M.M.Hassan, Sri.Sooranadu Rajasekharan, Sri.Pratapa Varma Thampan and other party leaders were present in that meeting and all the respondents also were present and it was decided to elect her as President. PW4 has further deposed that the DCC President had issued whip to all the nine Congress members present there and Ext.P12 is stated to be the whip given to her by the DCC President. PW4 has further deposed that in the election meeting the name of the respondent in O.P.No.42/2014 was proposed by the respondent in O.P.No.41/2014 and seconded by the respondent in O.P.No.40/2014 and thus the respondent in O.P.No.42/2014 contested against

her and all the respondents voted in favour of the respondent in O.P.No.42/2014 by disobeying the decision and direction of the Congress party. PW4 has also deposed that LDF candidate also contested in that election and that the respondent in O.P.No.42/2014 got five votes and she got four votes and the LDF candidate also got four votes and in the subsequent draw of lots, PW4 was eliminated and in the next phase of election, the candidate belonging to LDF found victory. In cross-examination it was suggested to PW4 that there is difference in the ink in respect of the writing contained in Ext.P12 with that of the signature which she admitted and she stated that DCC President had given the same to her directly. It was further suggested to PW4 that no whip was given by the DCC President to the respondents which she denied. PW5 is the Sasthamcotta Block Congress Committee President and he has deposed that the parliamentary party meeting was convened at the DCC office on 18.05.2014 as instructed by the KPCC President in which Sri.M.M.Hassan, Sri.Sooranad Rajasekharan, Sri.Pratapa Varama Thampan and all the Panchayat members were present and Smt.Achiyamma Elsi Samuel was decided as the candidate for the post of President in that meeting and whip was given by the DCC President to all the members directly. In cross-examination PW5 has stated that minutes was prepared for the said meeting in which he also had put his signatures. PW5 has further deposed that in respect of the no confidence motion no whip was given by

the DCC President to the members. It was suggested to PW5 that no meeting was convened on 18.05.2014 and no decision was taken to elect Smt.Achiyamma Elsi Samuel and that no whip was given by the DCC President which PW5 has denied.

12. The respondent in O.P.No.42/2014 as RW1 has deposed in terms of contentions raised by her in the objection. She has deposed that in respect of the no confidence motion moved against her, whip was given by the DCC President to all the Congress members to vote against the same and the petitioner and two others have violated that direction and they voted in favour of the said motion along with the LDF members for which O.P. Nos.28/2014, 29/2014 and 30/2014 are filed against them. RW1 has further deposed that in the subsequent election to the post of President no direction or whip was given to the members and all the members of Congress party were allowed to vote as per their conscience and this decision was on account of the removal of the President by certain party members. RW1 has also deposed that after eliminating Smt.Achiyamma Elsi Samuel in the election to the post of President, the contesting candidates were RW1 and CPI(M) member and the petitioner and another member voted in favour of the LDF member and thus RW1 happened to be defeated.

13. On a careful analysis of the entire facts and evidence on record it is found that no records are produced to prove that the DCC President had issued

any direction to these respondents to vote in favour of Smt.Achiyamma Elsi Samuel in the election held on 19.05.2014. The witnesses on the side of the petitioner have consistently deposed that minutes was prepared regarding the meeting held on 18.05.2014 at the DCC office. But no such record is produced . The non production of the record relating to the meeting said to have been held on 18.05.2014 is fatal to the petitions. The allegation of the petitioner that all the nine members of the Congress party including these respondents had participated in the said meeting is not at all proved by acceptable evidence. If these respondents were present in that meeting, the petitioner could have proved the same by producing relevant records. The oral evidence given by PWs1 to 5 cannot be considered as acceptable evidence in this respect. This is more so on account of the reason that the petitioner has not produced any record to prove that the DCC President had issued whip to the respondents regarding the election to the post of President held on 19.05.2014. PW1 has categorically stated that the respondents had acknowledged the receipt of the whip by putting the signatures on the copies of the same. If the respondents had given any such acknowledgments, those records could have been produced before the Commission. The non production of the said acknowledgments would only disprove the allegation of the petitioner regarding service of the alleged whip said to have been issued by the DCC President. Exts.P7, P8 and P12 are of no use to

prove that the DCC President had issued whip to the respondents. Ext.P7 and P10 are only photocopies. Ext.P12 is stated to be the whip given to PW4. But none of these records have any connection with these respondents. It is relevant to point out that as per Rule 4(2) of the Kerala Local Authorities (Disqualification of Defected Members) Rules, a direction in writing, which is commonly referred to as whip, is to be served either directly or by post or by affixture and if it is served directly the person who gives it shall obtain a receipt from the member and while sending it by registered post, it shall be done along with acknowledgment due and while effecting it by affixing, it shall be done in the presence of at least two witnesses. The petitioner has no case that whip was sent by registered post or served by affixture. At the same time the petitioner states that it was given directly and acknowledgments were obtained. But such a record is conspicuously absent which would mean that the petitioner has failed to prove issuance of whip to the respondents as provided by law. In this context it is also significant note that the petitioner has not examined the DCC President who is the person said to have issued the direction in writing to these respondents to vote in favour of Smt.Achiyamma Elsi Samuel. No explanation is offered by the petitioner for the non examination of the DCC President. The DCC President is the competent person rather the only person to state whether whip has been issued to the Congress party members because admittedly, he is the person

competent to issue whip in his capacity as the person competent recommend symbol to the candidates. Even PW3 has stated that DCC President is the competent person to issue direction in writing to the members regarding voting in the election to the post of President. So the non-examination of the DCC President coupled with the non-production of the record relating the service of whip to the respondents is fatal to these petitions.

14. On a careful consideration of all facts and materials on record, I find that the petitioner has completely failed in proving that the Congress party had decided Smt.Achiyamma Elsi Samuel as UDF candidate to the post of President in the election scheduled on 19.05.2014. There is no acceptable evidence to prove that any decision in this regard was taken in the presence of the respondents in any meeting. It is further found that no communication was given to the respondents regarding the decision if any of the Congress party to elect Smt.Achiyamma Elsi Samuel as President. The allegation of the common petitioner that whip was issued by the DCC President directing the respondents to vote in favour of Smt.Achiyamma Elsi Samuel in the election to the post of President held on 19.05.2014 stands not proved in these cases. The common petitioner has also failed in proving that the respondents have disobeyed any decision of the Congress party or the direction of the DCC President in respect of voting in the election to the post of President held on 19.05.2014. In the above

circumstances it cannot be held that the respondents have either voluntarily abandoned their membership from the party or violated any direction in writing issued by the DCC President in respect of voting in the election to the post of President. Therefore the petitions deserve only dismissal. The points are answered accordingly.

In the result, the petitions are dismissed.

The parties shall bear their respective costs.

Pronounced before the Commission on this the 19th day of March 2015

**K.SASIDHARAN NAIR,
STATE ELECTION COMMISSIONER**

APPENDIX

Witnesses examined on the side of the petitioner

- PW1 : Sri.Rajendran, Sivamangalam, Pattamthuruthu P.O.
Mantrothuruthu
- PW2 : Smt.Valsala, Dhanya, Villimangalam West,
Mantrothuruthu P.O.
- PW3 : Sri.M.M.Hassan, Harsham, E.V.Road, Thycaud P.O.
Thiruvananthapuram
- PW4 : Smt.Achiyamma Elsi Samuel, Charuvil Bhavan,
Matrothuruthu
- PW5 : Sri. Thundil Noushad, Thundil Puthen Veedu,
Sasthamcotta

Witness examined on the side of the respondent

RW1 : Smt. S.Sobha, Kannimel, Nenmeni, Mantrothuruthu
P.O. Kollam

Documents produced on the side of the petitioner

P1 : Copy of the declaration in Form No.2 submitted by
Sri.A.Vijayan

P2 : Copy of the declaration in Form No.2 submitted by
Smt.A.Sujatha

P3 : Copy of the declaration in Form No.2 submitted by
Smt.S.Sobha

P4 : Copy of the declaration in Form No.2 submitted by
Sri.K.Radhakrishnan

P5 : Copy of the declaration in Form No.2 submitted by
Sri.Subramanian.P

P6 : Copy of the register showing the political
affiliation of the members of Mantrothuruthu Grama
Panchayat

P7 : Copy of the whip dated 18.05.2014 issued by
Adv.G.Pratapa Varama Thampan, President, DCC
Kollam addressed to Sri.G.Rajendran

P8 : Copy of the letter No.ICDS/Eln.-442/13 dated
05.05.of the Returning Officer G-47
Muntrothuruthu Grama Panchayat addressed to
Shri.G.Rajendran

P9 : Copy of the letter No.ICDS/C1-182/2013 dated
20.05.2014 of the Returning Officer, Mantrothuruthu
Grama Panchayat

- P10 : Copy of the whip dated 18.05.2014 issued by Adv.G.Pratapa Varama Thampan, President, DCC Kollam addressed to Smt.O.Valsala
- P11 : Copy of the application dated 12.05.2014 given by Shri.M.K.Suresh Babu, President Indian National Congress (I), Mantrothuruth Mandalam Committee to Shri.V.M.Sudheeran, KPCC President (Subject to proof)
- P12 : Copy of the whip dated 18.05.2014 issued by Adv.G.Pratapa Varama Thampan, President, DCC Kollam addressed to Smt.Achiyamma Elsi Samuel

Sd/-

K.SASIDHARAN NAIR,
STATE ELECTION COMMISSIONER